May 16, 2005

Mr. Reagan E. Greer Executive Director Texas Lottery Commission P. O. Box 16630 Austin, Texas 78761-6630

OR2005-04194

Dear Mr. Greer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 224115.

The Texas Lottery Commission (the "commission") received a request for correspondence between the commission and Thompson Allstate Bingo Supply, Inc. ("Thompson"). You claim that the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of Thompson and inform us that you notified Thompson of this request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have received correspondence from Thompson and have reviewed the company's arguments and the submitted information.

Thompson asserts the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. This section protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) "[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision," and (2) "commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." See Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b).

The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of a "trade secret" from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a "trade secret" to be

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to a single or ephemeral event in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 898 (1958). If the governmental body takes no position on the application of the "trade secrets" component of section 552.110 to the information at issue, this office will accept a private party's claim for exception as valid under that component if that party establishes a prima facie case for the exception, and no one submits an argument that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. See Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). The private party must provide information that is sufficient to enable this office to conclude that the information at issue qualifies as a trade secret under section 552.110(a). See Open Records Decision No. 402 at 3 (1983). Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. See Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm).

¹The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

⁽¹⁾ the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];

⁽²⁾ the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;

⁽³⁾ the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;

⁽⁴⁾ the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;

⁽⁵⁾ the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;

⁽⁶⁾ the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

Upon review of the company's arguments and the submitted information, we determine that Thompson has made a specific factual showing that release of some of the submitted information would cause substantial competitive harm to Thompson. We therefore conclude that this information, which we have marked, is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(b). However, with respect to the pricing information the company seeks to withhold, we note that pricing information is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business" rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see Hyde Corp., 314 S.W.2d at 776; Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 3 (1982) (information relating to organization and personnel, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor), 306 at 3 (1982). Moreover, while Thompson generally alleges that release of the remainder of the submitted information would cause substantial competitive harm to the company, we find that Thompson has not made a specific factual or evidentiary showing that such harm would result from the release of the remaining information. Therefore, we find that the company has not adequately demonstrated that the remainder of the information at issue is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110(b). See Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (1999) (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 319 at 3 (1982). Accordingly, the commission must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the

Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Rapfogel

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

RBR/jev

Ref: ID# 224115

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Steven W. Hieronymus

Trend Gaming Systems, LLC 8868 Research Boulevard, Suite 500

Austin, Texas 78758

(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Reagan E. Greer - Page 5

Ms. Caroline Scott
Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP
Counsel for Thompson Allstate Bingo Supply, Inc.
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 3000
Austin, Texas 78701-2978
(w/o enclosures)